

AGENDA OF THE MEETING OF THE ACADEMY OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING.

1. List of attendees.
2. Summary: where we are at in the assessment process?
3. Points to consider in the program review.
4. General issues.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE MEETING.

1. Welcome and list of attendees:

The working meeting of the members of the academy of Industrial Engineering started on Friday, April 29 at 1:00 pm two thousand eleven in the video conference hall.

Those attending the meeting were: Enrique Fitch (Tijuana Campus), Carlos Solorio (Mexicali Campus), and Carlos Gonzalez and Socorro Lomelí (Ensenada Campus).

2. Program Review.

Carlos González mentions that as far as the revised document by the advisor, the comments are that it is well-structured. However, a critical point was that the academy's discussions as far as program, college, and institutional assessment are not present.

As a positive point it was mentioned that that learning outcomes were defined by the academy, and in which courses the assessments will take place.

3. Summing up where we stand in the assessment process.

The comments that we have as an academy in regard to the institutional assessment report must be part of the integrating document. We are doing well on the comparison of what we have done in assessment, but it is recommended that a closing gets done through a methodology that ensures assessment, feedback, and recommendations.

Closing recommendation: In a global meeting (one and a half hours) at the end of each semester, a format is filled with the rubric results and suggestions for the course; this is given to the professor that will teach the course in the following semesters.

One of the aspects that must be considered in teaching classes: in Mexico the student must enter the academic program he/she registered in with a sequence of courses that the student must study; the professor gets the curriculum and should adhere to it. Capture these differences in the document and in the closure of the improvement cycle.

WASC November 15 to 16. The institutional part will be reviewed in Tijuana as well as Psychology / Administration; in Ensenada they will review infrastructure, library, engineering academies. Therefore, it is highly desirable that the industrial academy participate in full in this meeting. It is recommended that we make a process that establishes the changes and improvements that we carry out in the contents of the courses and in the learning outcomes.

4. General issues.

Just a reminder that we have to send the competency courses at the end of this semester.

Next meeting will be face-to-face in Tijuana on Friday, May 6.

Attendees of the Academy

Carlos Gonzalez Campos

Enrique Fitch Manjarres

Carlos Solorio

María del Socorro Lomelí Sánchez